भोवापुर गांव का विद्युतीकरण

2639. **श्री पृथ्वी माझी :** क्या ऊर्जा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या यह सच है कि बलरामपुर जिले में चन्दौस ब्लाक के भोवापुर गांव (कूट संख्या 118) में बिजली उपलब्ध करा दी गई है ; यदि हां, तो कब और यदि नहीं, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं और क्या सरकार निकट भविष्य में उक्त गांव में बिजली उपलब्ध कराने का विचार रखती है ; और

(ख) यदि हां, तो इस कार्य के कब तक पूरा किया लिए जाने की संभावना है और यदि नहीं, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं ?

ऊर्जा मंत्रालय में विद्युत विभाग में राज्य मंत्री (श्रीमती सुशीला रोहतगी) : (क) उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य बिजली बोर्ड के अनुसार, मुख्य गांव टीकरी (कोड सं०-118) को भावापुर जिसका एक खेडा है, 31-3-1984 को विद्युतीकृत कर दिया गया था।

(ख) प्रश्न नहीं उठता।

Monopoly production of Tolbutamide etc.

2640. DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that 90 per cent share of the market of Tolbutamide, 100 per cent share of Benzooain, 100 per cent share of Bacitracin, 100 per cent share of Kenamycin, 100 per cent share of Lincomycin, 100 per cent share of Polymixin, 100 per cent share of Colistin, 100 per cent share of Metformin is being enjoyed by individual companies of individual products;

(b) if so, whether it is a fact that these drugs were recommended for price decontrol; and

(c) what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) Market shares of companies are not monitored by the Department of Chemicals and Petro-chemicals.

(b) and (c) These drugs are neither in the recent WHO list of essential drugs nor in the other list of 166 drugs considered by the Kelkar Committee for inclusion in Category II drugs.

Nomination of directors in the management of Peerless General Finanee and Investment Company Ltd.

2641. DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state;

(a) whether if is a fact that the Supreme Court of India in its judgement dated 23rd January, 1987, gave a clean chit to the Peerless General Finance and Investment Company Limited thereby putting its seal of approval; and

(b) if so, what were the specific circumstances which compelled the the Company Law Board to apply Section 408 of the Companies Act, 1956 in nominating four Government Directors in the management of the Company particularly when that section is applied to a mismanaged company?

THE MINISTER OP STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) and (b)' Pursuant *to* a show cause notice issued to M/s Peerless General Finance fc nvestment Co. Ltd. which contained references to observations by the Supreme Court of India in its judgement, dated. 22 January, 1987, in Civil Appeal No. 3563 of 1986 etc. (Reserve Bank of India Vs. Peerless General Finance & Investment Company Limited and Others) the Company Law Board passed an order under section 408 of the Companies Act, 1956 appointing 4 Government Directors on